A bivariate random shock model for a two-component system with dependence

Sophie Mercier, Hai Ha Pham

Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour Laboratoire de Mathématiques et de leurs Applications - Pau



Workshop ANR AMMSI - INSA Toulouse - January 20, 2014

- Shocks arrival times:
 - (non) homogeneous Poisson process,
 - renewal process.
- Kinds of shocks:
 - extreme shock models (possible immediate failure),
 - cumulative shock models (increase of intrinsic characterisic: accumulated deterioration, failure rate, age, number of already endured shocks, ...),
 - mixed shock models.
- Possible dependence between:
 - arrival times and shock magnitudes,
 - arrival times and probability of system failure at shocks.
- Usually:
 - one single kind of intrinsic characterisic is considered for the system,
 - one single type of dependence is considered.

- Shocks arrival times:
 - (non) homogeneous Poisson process,
 - renewal process.
- Kinds of shocks:
 - extreme shock models (possible immediate failure),
 - cumulative shock models (increase of intrinsic characterisic: accumulated deterioration, failure rate, age, number of already endured shocks, ...),
 - mixed shock models.
- Possible dependence between:
 - arrival times and shock magnitudes,
 - arrival times and probability of system failure at shocks.
- Usually:
 - one single kind of intrinsic characterisic is considered for the system,
 - one single type of dependence is considered.

- Shocks arrival times:
 - (non) homogeneous Poisson process,
 - renewal process.
- Kinds of shocks:
 - extreme shock models (possible immediate failure),
 - cumulative shock models (increase of intrinsic characterisic: accumulated deterioration, failure rate, age, number of already endured shocks, ...),
 - mixed shock models.
- Possible dependence between:
 - arrival times and shock magnitudes,
 - arrival times and probability of system failure at shocks.
- Usually:
 - one single kind of intrinsic characterisic is considered for the system,
 - one single type of dependence is considered.

- Shocks arrival times:
 - (non) homogeneous Poisson process,
 - renewal process.
- Kinds of shocks:
 - extreme shock models (possible immediate failure),
 - cumulative shock models (increase of intrinsic characterisic: accumulated deterioration, failure rate, age, number of already endured shocks, ...),
 - mixed shock models.
- Possible dependence between:
 - arrival times and shock magnitudes,
 - arrival times and probability of system failure at shocks.
- Usually:
 - one single kind of intrinsic characterisic is considered for the system,
 - one single type of dependence is considered.

The model (I)

- Two-component series system.
- Intrinsic characteristics:
 - first component: failure rate h(t),
 - second component: accumulated (non negative) deterioration $(G_t)_{t\geq 0}$, failure threshold *L*.
- Mixed shock model:
 - arrival times: $T_1, ..., T_n, ...$; non homogeneous Poisson process $(N_t)_{t \ge 0}$ with intensity $d\Lambda(x) = \lambda(x) dx$,
 - probability for a shock at time T_n not to be fatal (Bernoulli trial): $q(T_n)$,
 - increment of failure rate (first component) at time T_n: V_n⁽¹⁾; failure rate at time t:

$$X_{t}^{(1)} = h(t) + \underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^{N_{t}} V_{n}^{(1)}}_{A_{t}^{(1)}},$$

 increment of deterioration (second component) at time T_n: V_n⁽²⁾; deterioration at time t:

$$X_t^{(2)} = G_t + \underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^{N_t} V_n^{(2)}}_{A^{(2)}}.$$

The model (I)

- Two-component series system.
- Intrinsic characteristics:
 - first component: failure rate *h*(*t*),
 - second component: accumulated (non negative) deterioration $(G_t)_{t \ge 0}$, failure threshold *L*.
- Mixed shock model:
 - arrival times: T₁, ..., T_n, ...; non homogeneous Poisson process (N_t)_{t≥0} with intensity dΛ (x) = λ (x) dx,
 - probability for a shock at time T_n not to be fatal (Bernoulli trial): $q(T_n)$,
 - increment of failure rate (first component) at time T_n: V_n⁽¹⁾; failure rate at time t:

$$X_{t}^{(1)} = h(t) + \underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^{N_{t}} V_{n}^{(1)}}_{A_{t}^{(1)}},$$

 increment of deterioration (second component) at time T_n: V_n⁽²⁾; deterioration at time t:

$$X_t^{(2)} = G_t + \underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^{N_t} V_n^{(2)}}_{A_t^{(2)}}.$$

The model (II)

Assumptions:

• $V_n = (V_n^{(1)}, V_n^{(2)})$ are i.i.d and independent of $(N_t)_{t \ge 0}$, so that

$$A_t = \left(A_t^{(1)}, A_t^{(2)}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_t} V_n$$

is a bivariate compound non homogeneous Poisson process,

- fatality of a shock at time T_n (with probability $1 q(T_n)$): depends on all other things only through T_n ,
- both components are conditionaly independent given (*F_t*)_{t≥0}, where *F_t* = σ (*A_t*, t ≥ 0).

Stochastic dependence between components:

- simultaneous shocks on both components,
- dependence between increments of failure rate (first component) and deterioration (second component),
- fatality of a shock: identical for both components,
- Other dependence:

arrival time and fatality of a shock are correlated.

The model (II)

Assumptions:

• $V_n = (V_n^{(1)}, V_n^{(2)})$ are i.i.d and independent of $(N_t)_{t \ge 0}$, so that

$$A_t = \left(A_t^{(1)}, A_t^{(2)}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_t} V_n$$

is a bivariate compound non homogeneous Poisson process,

- fatality of a shock at time T_n (with probability $1 q(T_n)$): depends on all other things only through T_n ,
- both components are conditionally independent given (*F_t*)_{t≥0}, where *F_t* = σ (*A_t*, t ≥ 0).
- Stochastic dependence between components:
 - simultaneous shocks on both components,
 - dependence between increments of failure rate (first component) and deterioration (second component),
 - fatality of a shock: identical for both components,
- Other dependence:

arrival time and fatality of a shock are correlated.

The model (II)

Assumptions:

• $V_n = (V_n^{(1)}, V_n^{(2)})$ are i.i.d and independent of $(N_t)_{t \ge 0}$, so that

$$A_t = \left(A_t^{(1)}, A_t^{(2)}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_t} V_n$$

is a bivariate compound non homogeneous Poisson process,

- fatality of a shock at time T_n (with probability $1 q(T_n)$): depends on all other things only through T_n ,
- both components are conditionally independent given (*F_t*)_{t≥0}, where *F_t* = σ (*A_t*, t ≥ 0).
- Stochastic dependence between components:
 - simultaneous shocks on both components,
 - dependence between increments of failure rate (first component) and deterioration (second component),
 - fatality of a shock: identical for both components,
- Other dependence:
 - arrival time and fatality of a shock are correlated.

- J. H. Cha and M. Finkelstein. On a terminating shock process with independent wear increments. *Journal of Applied Probability*, **46**(2):353–362, 2009.
- J. H. Cha and J. Mi. Study of a stochastic failure model in a random environment. *Journal of Applied Probability*, **44**(1):151–163, 2007.
- J. H. Cha and J. Mi. On a stochastic survival model for a system under randomly variable environment. *Methodology and Computing in Applied Probability*, **13**(3): 549–561, 2011.
- J. D. Esary, A. W. Marshall, and F. Proschan. Shock models and wear processes. *The Annals of Probability*, **1**(4):627–649, 1973.

C. Qian, S. Nakamura, and T. Nakagawa. Cumulative damage model with two kinds of shocks and its application to the backup policy. *Journal of the Operations Research Society of Japan-Keiei Kagaku*, **42**(4):501–511, 1999.

System lifetime

• System lifetime:

$$\tau = \min\left(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3\right).$$

• Components intrinsic failure times on [0, *t*]:

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_{1} > t | \mathcal{F}_{t}) = e^{-\int_{0}^{t} X_{s}^{(1)} ds} = e^{-H(t)} e^{-\int_{0}^{t} A_{s}^{(1)} ds} = e^{-H(t)} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{N_{t}} (t-T_{i}) V_{i}^{(1)}}$$
$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_{2} > t | \mathcal{F}_{t}) = \mathbb{P}\left(X_{t}^{(2)} \le L | \mathcal{F}_{t}\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(G_{t} + A_{t}^{(2)} \le L | \mathcal{F}_{t}\right) = \mathcal{F}_{G_{t}}\left(L - A_{t}^{(2)}\right)$$

where

$$H(t) = \int_0^t h(s) \, ds$$

Time to the first fatal shock:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_3 > t | \mathcal{F}_t\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{N_t} q\left(T_i\right).$$

 $\tau_1,\,\tau_2$ and τ_3 are conditionnaly independent given $\mathcal{F}_t.$

Sophie Mercier

Computation of the reliability (I)

Proposition

The reliability is given by

$$R_L(t) = \mathbb{P}(\tau > t) = e^{-H(t)}\phi_t(L),$$

with

$$\phi_t(L) = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{N_t}(t-T_i)V_i^{(1)}}F_{G_t}\left(L-\sum_{\substack{i=1\\A_t^{(2)}}}^{N_t}V_j^{(2)}\right)\prod_{i=1}^{N_t}q(T_i)\right].$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{L}(t) &= \mathbb{P}(\tau_{1} > t, \tau_{2} > t, \tau_{3} > t) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_{1} > t, \tau_{2} > t, \tau_{3} > t\}} | \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_{1} > t\}} | \mathcal{F}_{t}\right) \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_{2} > t\}} | \mathcal{F}_{t}\right) \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_{3} > t\}} | \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)\right] \\ &= e^{-H(t)}\phi_{t}(L). \end{aligned}$$

Computation of the reliability (II)

Theorem

The Laplace transform of $\phi_t(L)$ with respect to L is given by

$$ilde{\phi}_t(\mathbf{s}) = ilde{F}_{G_t}(\mathbf{s}) ilde{
u}_t(\mathbf{s})$$

where

$$\tilde{\nu}_t(\mathbf{s}) = \mathbf{e}^{-\Lambda(t) + ((q\lambda) * \tilde{\mu}(\cdot, \mathbf{s}))(t)}$$

and

 μ̃ is the bivariate Laplace transform of the distribution μ of V = (V⁽¹⁾, V⁽²⁾) :

$$\widetilde{\mu}(u,s) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} e^{-uv_1 - sv_2} \mu(dv_1, dv_2)$$
, all $u, s \ge 0$,

•
$$\tilde{\mu}(\cdot, \mathbf{s}) : \mathbf{u} \to \tilde{\mu}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{s})$$
, all $\mathbf{s} \ge \mathbf{0}$.

Proof. We have:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\phi}_{t}(s) &= \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-sL} \mathbb{E} \left[F_{G_{t}} \left(L - A_{t}^{(2)} \right) e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{N_{t}} (t - T_{i}) V_{i}^{(1)}} \prod_{i=1}^{N_{t}} q(T_{i}) \right] dL \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-sL} F_{G_{t}} \left(L - A_{t}^{(2)} \right) dL \right) e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{N_{t}} (t - T_{i}) V_{i}^{(1)}} \prod_{i=1}^{N_{t}} q(T_{i}) \right] \end{split}$$

with

$$\begin{split} &\int_0^\infty e^{-sL} F_{G_t} \left(L - A_t^{(2)} \right) \ dL \\ &= e^{-sA_t^{(2)}} \tilde{F}_{G_t}(s) \\ &= e^{-s\sum_{i=1}^{N_t} V_i^{(2)}} \tilde{F}_{G_t}(s) \end{split}$$

(easy computation).

This provides:

$$\tilde{\phi}_t(\mathbf{s}) = \tilde{F}_{G_t}(\mathbf{s}) \,\theta(\mathbf{s})$$

with

$$\theta(\mathbf{s}) = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{N_{t}}(t-T_{i})V_{i}^{(1)}}e^{-s\sum_{i=1}^{N_{t}}V_{i}^{(2)}}\prod_{i=1}^{N_{t}}q(T_{i})\right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty}\left((t-T_{i})V_{i}^{(1)}+sV_{i}^{(2)}-\ln q(T_{i})\right)\mathbf{1}_{\{T_{i}\leq t\}}}\right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left(e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\psi_{s,t}(V_{i}^{(1)},V_{i}^{(2)},T_{i})}\right)$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left(e^{-M\psi_{s,t}}\right)$$

where

$$\psi_{s,t}(v_1, v_2, w) = ((t - w)v_1 + sv_2 - \ln q(w)) \mathbf{1}_{\{w \le t\}}$$

and

$$\mathbf{M} = \sum_{i} \delta_{(\mathbf{V}_{i}^{(1)}, \mathbf{V}_{i}^{(2)}, \mathbf{T}_{i})}$$

is a Poisson random measure with intensity measure

$$\nu(dv_1, dv_2, dw) = \mu(dv_1, dv_2)\lambda(w)dw.$$

Sophie Mercier

Based on the formula for functionnal Laplace transforms of Poisson random measures:

$$\theta(\mathbf{s}) = \exp\left(-\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3_+} \left(1 - e^{-\psi_{\mathbf{s},t}}\right) \mathbf{d}\nu\right)$$

with

$$\begin{split} &\iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3_+} \left(1 - e^{-\psi_{s,t}}\right) d\nu \\ &= \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3_+} \left(1 - e^{-\psi_{s,t}(v_1, v_2, w)}\right) \mu(dv_1, dv_2) \lambda(w) dw \\ &= \Lambda(t) - \left[(q\lambda) * (\tilde{\mu}(\cdot, s))\right](t) \end{split}$$

(easy computation).

Erhan Çinlar. *Probability and stochastics*, volume 261 of *Graduate texts in Mathematics*. Springer Science + Business Media, 2011.

New Better than Used property

Theorem

Assume that:

- $e^{-H(s)}$ is NBU,
- $F_{G_{t+s}} \leq F_{G_t}F_{G_s}$ (OK if $(G_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a univariate subordinator).

Then τ is NBU as soon as one of two following conditions is satisfied:

- **1.** q is non increasing and λ is constant,
- **2.** q is constant and \wedge is super-additive.

Proof.

The point: show that

$$\phi_{t+s}(L) \leq \phi_t(L)\phi_s(L)$$

with

$$\phi_{s+t}(L) = \mathbb{E}\left[F_{G_{t+s}}\left(L - \sum_{i=1}^{N_{t+s}} V_i^{(2)}\right) e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{N_{t+s}} (t+s-T_i)V_i^{(1)}} \prod_{i=1}^{N_{t+s}} q(T_i)\right].$$

Using $F_{G_{t+s}} \leq F_{G_t}F_{G_s}$, $N_t \leq N_{t+s}$ and non increasingness of q, we get:

$$\begin{split} \phi_{s+t}(L) &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[F_{G_{t}}\left(L - \sum_{i=1}^{N_{t}} V_{i}^{(2)}\right) e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{N_{t}} (t - T_{i})V_{i}^{(1)}} \prod_{i=1}^{N_{t}} q(T_{i}) \right. \\ &\times \underbrace{F_{G_{s}}\left(L - \sum_{i=N_{t}+1}^{N_{t+s}} V_{i}^{(2)}\right) e^{-\sum_{i=N_{t}+1}^{N_{t+s}} (s - (T_{i} - t))V_{i}^{(1)}} \prod_{i=N_{t}+1}^{N_{t+s}} q(T_{i} - t)}_{F_{G_{s}}\left(L - \sum_{j=1}^{N_{t}^{(1)}} V_{j+N_{t}}^{(2)}\right) e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{N_{t}^{(1)}} (s - T_{j}^{(1)})V_{j+N_{t}}^{(1)}} \prod_{j=1}^{N_{t}^{(1)}} q(T_{j}^{(t)})}$$

where

$$j = N_t - i, \ N_s^{(t)} := N_{t+s} - N_t \text{ and } T_j^{(t)} := T_{N_t+j} - t.$$

Sophie Mercier

Workshop ANR AMMSI - January 20, 2014

Proof.

The point: show that

$$\phi_{t+s}(L) \leq \phi_t(L)\phi_s(L)$$

with

$$\phi_{s+t}(L) = \mathbb{E}\left[F_{G_{t+s}}\left(L - \sum_{i=1}^{N_{t+s}} V_i^{(2)}\right) e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{N_{t+s}} (t+s-T_i)V_i^{(1)}} \prod_{i=1}^{N_{t+s}} q(T_i)\right].$$

Using $F_{G_{t+s}} \leq F_{G_t}F_{G_s}$, $N_t \leq N_{t+s}$ and non increasingness of q, we get:

$$\begin{split} \phi_{s+t}(L) &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[F_{G_{t}}\left(L - \sum_{i=1}^{N_{t}} V_{i}^{(2)}\right) e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{N_{t}} (t - T_{i})V_{i}^{(1)}} \prod_{i=1}^{N_{t}} q(T_{i}) \right. \\ &\times \underbrace{F_{G_{s}}\left(L - \sum_{i=N_{t}+1}^{N_{t+s}} V_{i}^{(2)}\right) e^{-\sum_{i=N_{t}+1}^{N_{t+s}} (s - (T_{i} - t))V_{i}^{(1)}} \prod_{i=N_{t}+1}^{N_{t+s}} q(T_{i} - t)}_{F_{G_{s}}\left(L - \sum_{j=1}^{N_{s}^{(1)}} V_{j+N_{t}}^{(2)}\right) e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{N_{s}^{(1)}} (s - T_{j}^{(1)})V_{j+N_{t}}^{(1)}} \prod_{j=1}^{N_{s}^{(1)}} q(T_{j}^{(t)})} \end{split}$$

where

$$j = N_t - i$$
, $N_s^{(t)} := N_{t+s} - N_t$ and $T_j^{(t)} := T_{N_t+j} - t$.

Sophie Mercier

Workshop ANR AMMSI - January 20, 2014

We get:

$$\begin{split} \phi_{s+t}(L) &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[F_{G_t}\left(L - \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} V_i^{(2)}\right) e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{N_t} (t - T_i) V_i^{(1)}} \prod_{i=1}^{N_t} q(T_i) \right. \\ &\times \left. \mathbb{E}\left(F_{G_s}\left(L - \sum_{j=1}^{N_s^{(t)}} V_{j+N_t}^{(2)}\right) e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{N_s^{(t)}} (s - T_j^{(t)}) V_{j+N_t}^{(1)}} \prod_{j=1}^{N_s^{(t)}} q(T_j^{(t)}) \right| \mathcal{F}_t\right) \right] \end{split}$$

Based on the independent increments of $(N_t)_{t>0}$:

 $\phi_{s+t}(L) \leq \phi_t(L) \times \phi_s^{(t)}(L)$

where

$$\phi_{s}^{(t)}(L) = \mathbb{E}\left[F_{G_{s}}\left(L - \sum_{j=1}^{N_{s}^{(t)}} V_{j}^{(2)}\right) e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{N_{s}^{(t)}} (s - T_{j}^{(t)})V_{j}^{(1)}} \prod_{j=1}^{N_{s}^{(t)}} q(T_{j}^{(t)})\right].$$

The point now: show that $\phi_s^{(t)}(L) \leq \phi_s(L)$.

- If λ is constant: $\phi_s^{(t)}(L) = \phi_s(L)$.
- If q is constant and Λ is supper-additive:

$$\Lambda(s+t) - \Lambda(t) \ge \Lambda(s)$$
,

we use the fact that

$$\left(T_n^{(t)}\right)_{n\geq 0}\leq_{st}\left(T_n\right)_{n\geq 0}$$

to conclude that $\phi_{s}^{(t)}(L) \leq \phi_{s}(L)$.

M. Shaked and J. G. Shanthikumar. *Stochastic orders*. Springer Series in Statistics. Springer, 2006.

Influence of the model parameters

Theorem

Two different systems with identical parameters except from one parameter.

- 1. If $q(w) \leq \tilde{q}(w)$, then $\tau \leq_{st} \tilde{\tau}$.
- **2.** If $\Lambda \geq \tilde{\Lambda}$ and q is non decreasing, then $\tau \leq_{st} \tilde{\tau}$.
- **3.** If $V \leq_{lo} \tilde{V}$ (namely $F_V \leq F_{\tilde{V}}$), then $\tau \leq_{st} \tilde{\tau}$.

Conclusion

- Conditions for τ to be IFRA, IFR, DMRL???
- The lifetime is all the shorter as Λ is larger: only under the condition on non decreasingness of *q*. Can we remove this condition???
- Development of statistical estimation procedure???
- Study of condition-based maintenance policies???